STATE OF NEVADA

LOCAL GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEE-MANAGEMENT

RELATIONS BOARD

3

5

б

7

1

2

JUDITH CARPENTER,

Complainant,

ITEM NO. 562F

ORDER

 $\|_{\mathbf{v}\mathbf{s}}$

CASE NO. A1-045773

. II.

ROSEMARY VASSILIADIS, DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF AVIATION; DORIS DIAZ, TERMINAL 2 MANAGER; BILL KLEIN, ASSISTANT DIRECOTR/AIRSIDE OPS; CHRISTINE SANTIAGO, MANAGER, AIRPORT EMPLOYEE SERVICES; VATHI EEN KRWAN MANAGEMENT

KATHLEEN KIRWAN, MANAGEMENT ANALYST, HR,

12 13

14

15

10

11

Respondents.

For Complainant:

Judith Carpenter

For Respondent:

Mark J. Ricciardi, Esq. David B. Dornak, Esq. Fisher & Phillips I I P

16

Fisher & Phillips LLP

17 18

On June 2, 2004, the LOCAL GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEE-MANAGEMENT RELATIONS BOARD (hereafter "Board") entered an Order (Item #562E) remanding the above-referenced case to the grievance procedures outlined in the parties' collective bargaining agreement.

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

19

On July 19, 2004, Complainant JUDITH CARPENTER filed a document entitled "Star Chamber Procedures Deny Equal Protection". Respondents ROSEMARY VASSILIADIS, DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF AVIATION; DORIS DIAZ, TERMINAL 2 MANAGER; BILL KLEIN, ASSISTANT DIRECTOR/AIRSIDE OPS; CHRISTINE SANTIAGO, MANAGER, AIRPORT EMPLOYEE SERVICES; KATHLEEN KIRWAN, MANAGEMENT ANALYST, HR, filed their opposition on July 30, 2004, and on August 16, 2004, Complainant filed her reply.

27

28

111

The Board held deliberations on September 21, 2004, noticed in accordance with Nevada's Open Meeting Law. Based upon the Board's deliberations,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that relief is DENIED on Complainant's document entitled "Star Chamber Procedures Deny Equal Protection." Complainant's document challenges this Board's June 2, 2004 Order, Item No. 562E, requiring deferral of this matter for exhaustion of administrative remedies. See I.A.F.F. #731 v. City of Reno. Case No. A1-045466, EMRB Item No. 257, (February 15, 1991) (adopting limited deferral doctrine). Complainant's document can only be construed as a request for rehearing; however, Complainant has failed to demonstrate that rehearing is warranted. See Washoe County Probation Employees' Ass'n v. Washoe County and Washoe County Juvenile Court, Case No. A1-045547, Item No. 334-A (June 27, 1994). Moreover, Complainant's motion was not filed until July 19, 2004, and is untimely pursuant to NAC 288.360 (stating that "[e]ither party may, within 10 days after any decision by the Board, file a petition for a rehearing stating the reasons therefor.")

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties are to provide a written status report to the Board within six (6) months from the date of this order. The parties shall also provide a written report to the Board within thirty (30) days of the completion of the grievance arbitration process, giving either an amended complaint or a stipulation to dismiss.

DATED this 22nd day of September, 2004.

	ent relations board	•
JANET J	ROST, ESQ., Chairman	_

LOCAL GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEE-

TAMARA BARENGO, Vice-Chairman

BY: Z / NUVEL DICKS, ESQ., Board Member